

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/776,036	MALFER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714	

All Participants:

 (1) Cephia D. Toomer.

 (2) Dennis Rainear.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 February 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

14 and 36

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner indicated that claims 14 and 36 lacked proper antecedent support for the polybutylene. Mr. Rainear agreed and indicated that the dependency of the claims should be amended to claims 9 and 31, respectively.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)